



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,788	06/26/2003	Vladimir Sadovsky	MFCP.103205	3465
45809 7590 07/12/2007 SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P. (c/o MICROSOFT CORPORATION) INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 2555 GRAND BOULEVARD KANSAS CITY, MO 64108-2613			EXAMINER MADDEN, GREGORY VINCENT	
			ART UNIT 2622	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 07/12/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/603,788	Applicant(s) SADOVSKY ET AL.	
	Examiner Gregory V. Madden	Art Unit 2622	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 May 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 June 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 29, 2007 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 19, 2007 (resubmitted with RCE of 5/29/2007) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In regard to claim 1, the Applicant argues that there is no suggestion or motivation to combine the Walker reference (U.S. Pub. 2004/0174434) with the Bolle reference (U.S. Pat. 6,301,440), as the automatic, unprompted adjustments performed by Bolle allegedly render the invention of Walker unsatisfactory for its intended purpose (See Remarks Pgs. 10-12). The Examiner respectfully disagrees. While the Walker reference does set forth that the setting adjustments are preferably performed after the user is prompted with scene-related questions, Walker also discloses that the adjustments can be performed automatically, without user intervention, in Para. [0471] and Para. [0522]. As this shows, the intended purpose of the Walker reference is not simply to adjust settings on the image capturing device through user prompts, but simply to adjust settings for optimal capture, either manually or automatically. For this reason, the Examiner believes that there is sufficient motivation to combine the Bolle reference, which adjusts settings without prompting the user, with the Walker reference. As such, the rejection of claim 1 set forth in the previous office action is maintained.

Art Unit: 2622

Next, the Applicant argues that the remaining independent and dependent claims are patentable for the same reasons set forth with regard to claim 1. However, as is set forth above, the Examiner believes that the combination of Walker in view of Bolle is proper, and therefore the rejection of claims 2-42 is hereby maintained.

Finally, it is noted that the Applicant has amended dependent claim 4, and the Applicant argues on Pg. 14 of the Remarks that neither the Walker reference nor the Bolle reference teaches “performing a metadata analysis with intelligent help using an accumulated usage pattern”. However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. First, noting the Walker reference at Para. [0606], Walker teaches that the template image, which is used to provide the camera with optimal settings for a given environment or situation, can be formed using a variety of previously captured images. In this respect, the Examiner believes that such use of a plurality of previously captured images amounts to an “accumulated usage pattern”, and that the server of Walker provides “intelligent help” by automatically applying the optimal settings associated with the template image to the camera in image-capture situations determined to be similar to those in the template images. Further, regarding the Bolle reference, Bolle discloses that the photographic expert module (104) learns user preferences based on previous image capture settings, and then automatically applies similar setting corrections to future images, as is taught in Col. 3, Lines 43-48. The Examiner also considers this to be a teaching of comparing data to previously stored data by performing a metadata analysis (i.e. setting data applied to a captured image) with intelligent help (i.e. help from the photographic expert 104) using an accumulated usage pattern (learned user preferences). For the above reasons, the Examiner believes that the amended claim 4 is still taught by Walker in view of Bolle, as will be set forth further below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al.

(U.S. Pub. 2004/0174434) in view of Bolle et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,301,440).

First, considering **claim 1**, the Walker reference teaches a method for optimizing an image capturing device (camera 130) in order to improve image quality, the method comprising collecting data related to a captured image from the image capturing device (130) and storing the data externally (in server 110) from the image capturing device, comparing the collected data to previously stored data ("template" images stored in memory of server 110), and determining adjustments for optimizing the image capturing device based on the comparison. Please refer to Figs. 1-4, Paras. [0023-0058], and Paras. [0318-0333]. Walker also teaches that the adjustments for optimizing the image capturing device can be automatically made when the user does not reply to a posed question, as shown in Para. [0471]. What Walker does not explicitly teach, however, is that the adjustments for optimizing the image capturing device are made automatically without prompting a user. However, the Bolle reference teaches a method of optimizing an image capturing device (camera) in order to improve image quality by collecting data related to a captured image (a "temporary image") from an image capturing device, comparing the collected data to previously stored data (in photographic expert unit 104), and either prompting the user to set determined adjustments for optimizing the image capturing device based on the comparison (i.e. "guided mode"), or automatically determining adjustments, without prompting a user, for optimizing the image capturing device based on the comparison (i.e. "fully automatic mode"). Please refer to Fig. 1,

Art Unit: 2622

Col. 2, Lines 14-44, Col. 2, Line 62 – Col. 3, Line 21, and Col. 6, Lines 23-67. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the automatic determination of adjustments without the prompting of a user, as taught by Bolle, with the method for optimizing an image capturing device disclosed by Walker. One would have been motivated to do so because, as taught by Bolle in Col. 1, Line 14 – Col. 2, Line 10, a novice user of the image capturing device is often overwhelmed by the various camera settings and adjustments available to them, and thus an automatic determination of adjustments enables the user to capture an expert-quality image without having to make manual adjustments that may adversely alter the captured image.

As for **claim 2**, the limitations of claim 1 are taught above, and the Walker reference further discloses that the determined adjustments are forwarded to a user interface (output devices 540) for user evaluation. See Para. [0089], Paras. [0466-0470], and Paras. [0484-0486].

In regard to **claim 3**, again the limitations of claim 1 are taught above, and Walker also teaches that the adjustments to the image-capturing device (130) are automatically made in Para. [0471]. Also, Bolle teaches that the adjustments are automatically made in Col. 2, Lines 14-44, Col. 2, Line 62 – Col. 3, Line 21, and Col. 6, Lines 23-67.

Regarding **claim 4**, Walker teaches the limitations of claim 1, and the Walker reference further discloses that the comparing of data to previously stored data (template images) comprises performing metadata analysis, as is taught in Fig. 8 and Paras. [0117-0119]. Further, the Walker reference teaches that the template image, which can be determined using metadata from a captured image (i.e. camera settings at time of capture), is used to provide the camera with optimal settings for a given environment or situation by using information from variety of previously captured images. In this respect, the Examiner believes that such use of a plurality of previously captured images amounts to an “accumulated usage pattern”, and that the server of Walker provides “intelligent help” by automatically applying the optimal

Art Unit: 2622

settings associated with the template image to the camera in image-capture situations determined to be similar to those in the template images. Please refer further to Paras. [0600-0606].

Next, in regard to **claim 5**, the limitations of claim 1 are taught above, and Walker also teaches that comparing the data to previously stored data comprises performing pattern analysis (e.g. indoors or lighting patterns), as disclosed in Paras. [0319-0333].

Considering **claim 6**, again the limitations of claim 1 are taught above, and Walker further discloses that comparing the data to previously stored data comprises performing device setting analysis, as taught in Para. [0105-0112].

As for **claim 7**, Walker in view of Bolle teaches the limitations of claim 1, and the Walker reference also discloses that help topics (e.g. describing a potential adjustment to a setting) are presented to a user interface, as described in Para. [0569]. The Bolle reference also teaches a “guided mode” that prompts the user to choose a variety of reasonable settings in Col. 2, Lines 14-44.

Regarding **claim 8**, the limitations of claim 1 are taught above, and Fig. 4 and Paras. [0069-0073] of the Walker reference teaches that the method further comprises collecting data through a connectivity layer (processor 405) and making changes to image capturing device settings through the connectivity layer (based upon the changes made via program 415).

Next, in regard to **claim 9**, the limitations of claim 8 are taught above, and Paras. [0326-0333] further show that the collected data (i.e. captured image data) is sent to an image and context analysis manager (template database) for analysis.

Considering **claim 10**, the limitations of claim 9 are set forth above, and Walker teaches that a real time wireless connection is maintained between the image capture device and the connectivity layer (405) in Para. [0033] and Fig. 1.

As for **claim 11**, the method of claim 1 is set forth above by Walker in view of Bolle, and Walker further discloses a computer-readable medium (memory 410) having computer-executable instructions (program 415) for performing the above method, as is taught in Fig. 4 and Paras. [0070-0071].

In regard to **claim 12**, the Walker reference teaches a system for optimizing an image capturing device (camera 130) in order to improve image quality, the system comprising a data collection apparatus for collecting data related to a captured image from the image capturing device and sending the data to a storage device (in server 110), data analysis tools for comparing the captured data to previously stored data (template images), and optimization tools for optimizing the image capturing device based on the data analysis. Please refer to Figs. 1-4, Paras. [0023-0058], and Paras. [0318-0333]. Walker also teaches that the adjustments for optimizing the image capturing device can be automatically made when the user does not reply to a posed question, as shown in Para. [0471]. What Walker does not explicitly teach, however, is that the adjustments for optimizing the image capturing device are made automatically without prompting a user. However, the Bolle reference teaches a system of optimizing an image capturing device (camera) in order to improve image quality by collecting data related to a captured image (a "temporary image") from an image capturing device, comparing the collected data to previously stored data (in photographic expert unit 104), and either prompting the user to set determined adjustments for optimizing the image capturing device based on the comparison (i.e. "guided mode"), *or* automatically determining adjustments, without prompting a user, for optimizing the image capturing device based on the comparison (i.e. "fully automatic mode"). Please refer to Fig. 1, Col. 2, Lines 14-44, Col. 2, Line 62 – Col. 3, Line 21, and Col. 6, Lines 23-67. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the automatic determination of adjustments without the prompting of a user, as taught by Bolle, with the system for optimizing an image capturing device disclosed by Walker. One would have been motivated to do so because, as taught by Bolle in Col.

Art Unit: 2622

1, Line 14 – Col. 2, Line 10, a novice user of the image capturing device is often overwhelmed by the various camera settings and adjustments available to them, and thus an automatic determination of adjustments enables the user to capture an expert-quality image without having to make manual adjustments that may adversely alter the captured image.

Considering **claim 13**, the limitations of claim 12 are taught above, and Walker further discloses that the data collection apparatus comprises a connectivity layer (processor 405) operable for sending image-related data to the data analysis tools, as is taught in Fig. 4 and Paras. [0069-0073].

As for **claim 14**, again the limitations of claim 12 are taught above, and Walker teaches that the data analysis tools comprise an image and context analysis manager (template databases), as is taught in Paras. [0326-0333].

Regarding **claim 15**, the limitations of claim 14 are taught by Walker in view of Bolle above, and Walker discloses that the image and context analysis manager comprises a plurality of filters (plurality of databases in memory 410) for processing and analyzing different types of image-related data, as shown in Fig. 4 and Para. [0075].

In regard to **claim 16**, the limitations of claim 15 are taught above, and Walker teaches that the filters comprise an image analysis filter (image database 425), a device settings and context analysis filter (settings database 420), and a usage and pattern analysis filter (event log 450). Please refer again to Fig. 4 and Para. [0075].

Next, considering **claim 17**, Walker in view of Bolle teaches the limitations of claim 12, and Walker further teaches that the optimization tools comprise a user interface (output devices 540) for providing instructions and recommendations to the user for improving image quality. See Para. [0089], Paras. [0466-0470], and Paras. [0484-0486]. The Bolle reference also teaches a “guided mode” that prompts the user to choose a variety of reasonable settings in Col. 2, Lines 14-44.

As for **claim 18**, again the limitations of claim 12 are taught above, and the Walker reference teaches that the optimization tools comprise core services and a connectivity layer (405) for sending adjustments directly to the image capturing device, as taught in Para. [0471].

In regard to **claim 19**, while the Walker reference does teach that usage statistics are saved in the memory (via event log 450 in Fig. 4), Walker is silent with regard to the system comprising a data aggregating and uploading manager for facilitating maintenance of usage statistics. However, Official Notice is hereby taken that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included such a data aggregating and uploading manager with the system of Walker. One would have been motivated to do so because by facilitating the maintenance of usage statistics, the server can more effectively provide assistance or adjustments to the image-capturing device based on repeated characteristics of image capture made by a particular user. Thus, the system is using not only previously stored images, but also previous settings, etc., to guide the user.

Considering **claim 20**, Walker discloses a method for analyzing captured images, the method comprising collecting data related to a newly captured image from the image capturing device (130), wherein the data includes image quality data and context data, comparing the collected data to previously stored data ("template" images stored in memory of server 110) to determine a deviation from ideal image quality data and comparing context data for the newly captured image to stored context data, and determining adjustments for optimizing the image capturing device to improve image quality based on the comparison. Please refer to Figs. 1-4, Paras. [0023-0058], and Paras. [0318-0333]. Walker also teaches that the adjustments for optimizing the image capturing device can be automatically made when the user does not reply to a posed question, as shown in Para. [0471]. What Walker does not explicitly teach, however, is that the adjustments for optimizing the image capturing device are made automatically without prompting a user. However, the Bolle reference teaches a method of optimizing an image

Art Unit: 2622

capturing device (camera) in order to improve image quality by collecting data related to a captured image (a “temporary image”) from an image capturing device, comparing the collected data to previously stored data (in photographic expert unit 104), and either prompting the user to set determined adjustments for optimizing the image capturing device based on the comparison (i.e. “guided mode”), *or* automatically determining adjustments, without prompting a user, for optimizing the image capturing device based on the comparison (i.e. “fully automatic mode”). Please refer to Fig. 1, Col. 2, Lines 14-44, Col. 2, Line 62 – Col. 3, Line 21, and Col. 6, Lines 23-67. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the automatic determination of adjustments without the prompting of a user, as taught by Bolle, with the method for optimizing an image capturing device disclosed by Walker. One would have been motivated to do so because, as taught by Bolle in Col. 1, Line 14 – Col. 2, Line 10, a novice user of the image capturing device is often overwhelmed by the various camera settings and adjustments available to them, and thus an automatic determination of adjustments enables the user to capture an expert-quality image without having to make manual adjustments that may adversely alter the captured image.

In regard to **claim 21**, the limitations of claim 20 are taught above, and again the Walker reference teaches that the determined adjustments are forwarded to a user interface (output devices 540) for user evaluation. See Para. [0089], Paras. [0466-0470], and Paras. [0484-0486]. The Bolle reference also teaches a “guided mode” that prompts the user to choose a variety of reasonable settings in Col. 2, Lines 14-44.

As for **claim 22**, Walker in view of Bolle discloses the limitations of claim 20 above, the Walker reference again shows that the adjustments to the image capturing device can be made automatically, as taught in Para. [0471]. Also, Bolle teaches that the adjustments are automatically made in Col. 2, Lines 14-44, Col. 2, Line 62 – Col. 3, Line 21, and Col. 6, Lines 23-67.

Regarding **claim 23**, again the limitations of claim 20 are taught above, and Walker also teaches that comparing the context data to previously stored context data (in databases) comprises performing device setting analysis (via settings database 420), as shown in Fig. 4 and Para. [0075].

As for **claim 24**, Walker in view of Bolle teaches the limitations of claim 20 above, and the method further comprises presenting help topics to a user interface (via questions presented to the user on the camera LCD), an example of which is disclosed in Paras. [0126-0201] of the Walker reference. The Bolle reference also teaches a “guided mode” that prompts the user to choose a variety of reasonable settings in Col. 2, Lines 14-44.

Considering **claim 25**, the limitations of claim 20 are taught above, and Fig. 4 and Paras. [0069-0073] of the Walker reference teaches that the method further comprises collecting data through a connectivity layer (processor 405) and making changes to image capturing device settings through the connectivity layer (based upon the changes made via program 415).

In regard to **claim 26**, the Walker in view of Bolle combination teaches the limitations of claim 25 above, and Walker further teaches that the method further comprises sending the collected data (i.e. captured image data) to an image and context analysis manager (template database) for analysis. Please refer to Paras. [0326-0333].

As for **claim 27**, the limitations of claim 26 are set forth above, and Walker discloses that a real time wireless connection is maintained between the image capture device and the connectivity layer (405) in Para. [0033] and Fig. 1.

Considering **claim 28**, the method of claim 20 is taught above, and Walker further discloses a computer-readable medium (memory 410) having computer-executable instructions (program 415) for performing the above method, as is taught in Fig. 4 and Paras. [0070-0071].

Next, regarding **claim 29**, the Walker reference discloses a system for optimizing an image capturing device in order to improve image quality, the system comprising a data collection apparatus for collecting data related to a captured image from the image capturing device and sending the data to a storage device (in server 110), image data analysis tools for comparing the captured data to previously stored data (template images), device and context analysis tools (settings database) for comparing current context data with stored context data and for sending the context data to the storage device, and optimization tools for optimizing the image capturing device based on the data analysis. Please refer to Figs. 1-4, Paras. [0023-0058], and Paras. [0318-0333]. Walker also teaches that the adjustments for optimizing the image capturing device can be automatically made when the user does not reply to a posed question, as shown in Para. [0471]. What Walker does not explicitly teach, however, is that the adjustments for optimizing the image capturing device are made automatically without prompting a user. However, the Bolle reference teaches a system of optimizing an image capturing device (camera) in order to improve image quality by collecting data related to a captured image (a “temporary image”) from an image capturing device, comparing the collected data to previously stored data (in photographic expert unit 104), and either prompting the user to set determined adjustments for optimizing the image capturing device based on the comparison (i.e. “guided mode”), or automatically determining adjustments, without prompting a user, for optimizing the image capturing device based on the comparison (i.e. “fully automatic mode”). Please refer to Fig. 1, Col. 2, Lines 14-44, Col. 2, Line 62 – Col. 3, Line 21, and Col. 6, Lines 23-67. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the automatic determination of adjustments without the prompting of a user, as taught by Bolle, with the system for optimizing an image capturing device disclosed by Walker. One would have been motivated to do so because, as taught by Bolle in Col. 1, Line 14 – Col. 2, Line 10, a novice user of the image capturing device is often overwhelmed by the various camera settings and adjustments available to them, and thus an automatic determination of adjustments enables the user to

Art Unit: 2622

capture an expert-quality image without having to make manual adjustments that may adversely alter the captured image.

In regard to **claim 30**, the limitations of claim 29 are taught above, and Walker further teaches that the data collection apparatus comprises a connectivity layer (processor 405) operable for sending image-related data to the image data analysis tools and context data to the device and context analysis tools, as is taught in Fig. 4 and Paras. [0069-0073].

Considering **claim 31**, again the limitations of claim 29 are taught above, and Walker teaches that the system further comprises a usage and pattern analysis filter (event log 450). Please refer again to Fig. 4 and Para. [0075].

As for **claim 32**, Walker teaches the limitations of claim 29, and the Walker reference further discloses that the optimization tools comprise a user interface (output devices 540) for providing instructions and recommendations to the user for improving image quality. See Para. [0089], Paras. [0466-0470], and Paras. [0484-0486]. The Bolle reference also teaches a “guided mode” that prompts the user to choose a variety of reasonable settings in Col. 2, Lines 14-44.

Regarding **claim 33**, again the limitations of claim 29 are taught above, and the Walker reference teaches that the optimization tools comprise core services and a connectivity layer (405) for sending adjustments directly to the image capturing device, as taught in Para. [0471].

In regard to **claim 34**, while the Walker reference does teach that usage statistics are saved in the memory (via event log 450 in Fig. 4), Walker is silent with regard to the system comprising a data aggregating and uploading manager for facilitating maintenance of usage statistics. However, Official Notice is hereby taken that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included such a data aggregating and uploading manager with the system of Walker. One would have been motivated to do so because by facilitating the maintenance of usage statistics, the server can more effectively provide assistance or adjustments to the image-capturing device

based on repeated characteristics of image capture made by a particular user. Thus, the system is using not only previously stored images, but also previous settings, etc., to guide the user.

Next, in regard to **claim 35**, the Walker reference discloses a system for improving the quality of images captured by an image capturing device, the system comprising image analysis filters (image database 425) for deducing image metadata (as shown in Fig. 8) from collected image bits and for recording the image metadata, device settings and context analysis filters (settings database 420) for analyzing device settings and contexts during image capture, and means for determining appropriate corrective measures based on the deduced image metadata, device settings and context analysis, and historical data. Please refer to Figs. 1-4, Paras. [0023-0058], and Paras. [0318-0333]. Walker also teaches that the adjustments for optimizing the image capturing device can be automatically made when the user does not reply to a posed question, as shown in Para. [0471]. What Walker does not explicitly teach, however, is that the adjustments for optimizing the image capturing device are made automatically without prompting a user. However, the Bolle reference teaches a system of optimizing an image capturing device (camera) in order to improve image quality by collecting data related to a captured image (a "temporary image") from an image capturing device, comparing the collected data to previously stored data (in photographic expert unit 104), and either prompting the user to set determined adjustments for optimizing the image capturing device based on the comparison (i.e. "guided mode"), *or* automatically determining adjustments, without prompting a user, for optimizing the image capturing device based on the comparison (i.e. "fully automatic mode"). Please refer to Fig. 1, Col. 2, Lines 14-44, Col. 2, Line 62 – Col. 3, Line 21, and Col. 6, Lines 23-67. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the automatic determination of adjustments without the prompting of a user, as taught by Bolle, with the system for optimizing an image capturing device disclosed by Walker. One would have been motivated to do so because, as taught by Bolle in Col.

Art Unit: 2622

1, Line 14 – Col. 2, Line 10, a novice user of the image capturing device is often overwhelmed by the various camera settings and adjustments available to them, and thus an automatic determination of adjustments enables the user to capture an expert-quality image without having to make manual adjustments that may adversely alter the captured image.

Considering **claim 36**, the limitations of claim 35 are taught above, and Walker further teaches the data collection apparatus comprises a connectivity layer (processor 405) operable for sending image-related data to the image analysis filters and the device setting and session context analysis filters, as is taught in Fig. 4 and Paras. [0069-0073].

As for **claim 37**, again the limitations of claim 35 are taught above, and Walker discloses that the system further comprises a usage and pattern analysis filter (event log 450). Please refer again to Fig. 4 and Para. [0075].

As for **claim 38**, Walker in view of Bolle teaches the limitations of claim 35, and the Walker reference further discloses that the means for determining appropriate corrective measures comprise a user interface (output devices 540) for providing instructions and recommendations to the user for improving image quality. See Para. [0089], Paras. [0466-0470], and Paras. [0484-0486].

Regarding **claim 39**, again the limitations of claim 35 are taught above, and the Walker reference teaches that the means for determining appropriate corrective measures comprise core services and a connectivity layer (405) for sending adjustments directly to the image capturing device, as taught in Para. [0471].

In regard to **claim 40**, while the Walker reference does teach that usage statistics are saved in the memory (via event log 450 in Fig. 4), Walker is silent with regard to the system comprising a data aggregating and uploading manager for facilitating maintenance of usage statistics. However, Official Notice is hereby taken that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included such a data aggregating and uploading manager with the system of

Art Unit: 2622

Walker. One would have been motivated to do so because by facilitating the maintenance of usage statistics, the server can more effectively provide assistance or adjustments to the image-capturing device based on repeated characteristics of image capture made by a particular user. Thus, the system is using not only previously stored images, but also previous settings, etc., to guide the user.

Claims 41 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al. (U.S. Pub. 2004/0174434) in view of Bolle et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,301,440), further in view of Kiyokawa (U.S. Pat. 6,636,260).

Next, regarding **claim 41**, the Walker reference teaches a method for analyzing a method for optimizing an image capturing device (camera 130) in order to improve image quality, the method comprising collecting data related to a captured image from the image capturing device (130) and storing the data externally (in server 110) from the image capturing device, comparing the collected data to previously stored data (“template” images stored in memory of server 110), and determining adjustments for optimizing the image capturing device based on the comparison (Please refer to Figs. 1-4, Paras. [0023-0058], and Paras. [0318-0333]). Walker also teaches that the adjustments for optimizing the image capturing device can be automatically made when the user does not reply to a posed question, as shown in Para. [0471]. What Walker does not explicitly teach, however, is that the adjustments for optimizing the image capturing device are made automatically without prompting a user, and further that the method involves a multimedia object (e.g. a video or audio object). However, the Bolle reference teaches a method of optimizing an image capturing device (camera) in order to improve image quality by collecting data related to a captured image (a “temporary image”) from an image capturing device, comparing the collected data to previously stored data (in photographic expert unit 104), and either prompting the user to set determined adjustments for optimizing the image capturing device based on the comparison (i.e. “guided mode”), or automatically determining adjustments, without prompting a user, for optimizing the

Art Unit: 2622

image capturing device based on the comparison (i.e. “fully automatic mode”). Please refer to Fig. 1, Col. 2, Lines 14-44, Col. 2, Line 62 – Col. 3, Line 21, and Col. 6, Lines 23-67. Further, referring to the Kiyokawa reference, Kiyokawa teaches a digital video camera (11) wherein multimedia objects (video objects) are captured and compared with quality data (in this case, color matching data) of previously stored multimedia data (stored in external storage 14), wherein adjustments to the data are made based on the comparison (See Col. 3, Line 65 – Col. 4, Line 47). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the automatic determination of adjustments without the prompting of a user, as taught by Bolle, as well as the multimedia data comparison of Kiyokawa, with the method for optimizing an image capturing device disclosed by Walker. One would have been motivated to do so because, as taught by Bolle in Col. 1, Line 14 – Col. 2, Line 10, a novice user of the image capturing device is often overwhelmed by the various camera settings and adjustments available to them, and thus an automatic determination of adjustments enables the user to capture an expert-quality image without having to make manual adjustments that may adversely alter the captured image. Also, regarding the capture of multimedia objects, cameras that capture both moving and still images can be optimized based on previously captured data, as opposed to analyzing and correcting (or recommending correction) still image data but not analyzing motion image data. The user will thus obtain both expert-quality still and moving images.

Finally, in regard to **claim 42**, the limitations of claim 41 are set forth above, and the Kiyokawa reference further discloses that the captured multimedia object comprises a video object in Col. 4, Lines 6-13.

Art Unit: 2622

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory V. Madden whose telephone number is 571-272-8128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ngoc Yen Vu can be reached on 571-272-7320. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gregory Madden
June 21, 2007



NGOC-YEN VU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER